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Conventional quantum matter:

1. Ground states connected adiabatically to independent electron states
2. Boltzmann-Landau theory of quasiparticles

Luttinger’s theorem:
volume enclosed by the Fermi surface = density of all electrons (mod 2 per unit cell).
Obeyed in overdoped cuprates
**Topological quantum matter:**

1. **Ground states disconnected from independent electron states:** many-particle entanglement

2. **Boltzmann-Landau theory of quasiparticles**

(a) The fractional quantum Hall effect: the ground state is described by Laughlin’s wavefunction, and the excitations are *quasiparticles* which carry fractional charge.

(b) The pseudogap metal: proposed to have electron-like quasiparticles but on a “small” Fermi surface which does not obey the Luttinger theorem.
Quantum matter without quasiparticles:

1. Ground states disconnected from independent electron states: many-particle entanglement

2. No quasiparticles

Strange metals:

Such metals are found, most prominently, near optimal doping in the the cuprate high temperature superconductors.

But how can we be sure that no quasiparticles exist in a given system? Perhaps there are some exotic quasiparticles inaccessible to current experiments.......
Local thermal equilibration or phase coherence time, $\tau_\varphi$:

- There is an \textit{lower bound} on $\tau_\varphi$ in all many-body quantum systems of order $\hbar/(k_B T)$,

  \[ \tau_\varphi > C \frac{\hbar}{k_B T}, \]

  and the lower bound is realized by systems \emph{without} quasiparticles.

- In systems \emph{with} quasiparticles, $\tau_\varphi$ is parametrically larger at low $T$;
  \textit{e.g.} in Fermi liquids $\tau_\varphi \sim 1/T^2$,
  and in gapped insulators $\tau_\varphi \sim e^{\Delta/(k_B T)}$ where $\Delta$ is the energy gap.

A bound on quantum chaos:

- The time over which a many-body quantum system becomes “chaotic” is given by $\tau_L = 1/\lambda_L$, where $\lambda_L$ is the “Lyapunov exponent” determining memory of initial conditions. This **Lyapunov time** obeys the rigorous lower bound

\[
\tau_L \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\hbar}{k_B T}
\]
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Quantum matter without quasiparticles

$\approx$ fastest possible many-body quantum chaos
Black holes have a “ring-down” time, $\tau_r$, in which they radiate energy, and stabilize to a ‘featureless’ spherical object. This time can be computed in Einstein’s general relativity theory.

For this black hole $\tau_r = 7.7$ milliseconds. (Radius of black hole = 183 km; Mass of black hole = 62 solar masses.)
‘Featureless’ black holes have a Bekenstein-Hawking entropy, and a Hawking temperature, $T_H$. 

LIGO
September 14, 2015
Expressed in terms of the Hawking temperature, the ring-down time is $\tau_r \sim \hbar/(k_B T_H)$.

For this black hole $T_H \approx 1 \text{ nK.}$
The Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model:

- A theory of a strange metal
- Has a dual representation as a black hole
- Fastest possible quantum chaos with $\tau_L = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi k_B T}$
Infinite-range model with quasiparticles

\[ H = \frac{1}{(N)^{1/2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} t_{ij} c_i^\dagger c_j + \ldots \]

\[ c_i c_j + c_j c_i = 0 \quad , \quad c_i c_j^\dagger + c_j^\dagger c_i = \delta_{ij} \]

\[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_i c_i^\dagger c_i = Q \]

\[ t_{ij} \] are independent random variables with \( \overline{t_{ij}} = 0 \) and \( |t_{ij}|^2 = t^2 \)

Fermions occupying the eigenstates of a
\( N \times N \) random matrix
Infinite-range model with quasiparticles

Feynman graph expansion in $t_{ij}$, and graph-by-graph average, yields exact equations in the large $N$ limit:

$$G(i\omega) = \frac{1}{i\omega + \mu - \Sigma(i\omega)} , \quad \Sigma(\tau) = t^2 G(\tau)$$

$$G(\tau = 0^-) = Q.$$

$G(\omega)$ can be determined by solving a quadratic equation.

![Diagram showing $-\text{Im} G(\omega)$ vs $\omega$ with a shaded region and a vertical line at $\mu$.]
Infinite-range model with quasiparticles

Now add weak interactions

\[ H = \frac{1}{(N)^{1/2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^N t_{ij} c_i^\dagger c_j + \frac{1}{(2N)^{3/2}} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell=1}^N J_{ij;\ell} c_i^\dagger c_j^\dagger c_k c_\ell \]

\( J_{ij;\ell} \) are independent random variables with \( \overline{J_{ij;\ell}} = 0 \) and \( |\overline{J_{ij;\ell}}|^2 = J^2 \). We compute the lifetime of a quasiparticle, \( \tau_\alpha \), in an exact eigenstate \( \psi_\alpha(i) \) of the free particle Hamiltonian with energy \( E_\alpha \). By Fermi’s Golden rule, for \( E_\alpha \) at the Fermi energy

\[
\frac{1}{\tau_\alpha} = \pi J^2 \rho_0^3 \int dE_\beta dE_\gamma dE_\delta f(E_\beta)(1 - f(E_\gamma))(1 - f(E_\delta)) \delta(E_\alpha + E_\beta - E_\gamma - E_\delta)
= \frac{\pi^3 J^2 \rho_0^3}{4} T^2
\]

where \( \rho_0 \) is the density of states at the Fermi energy.

**Fermi liquid state:** Two-body interactions lead to a scattering time of quasiparticle excitations from in (random) single-particle eigenstates which diverges as \( \sim T^{-2} \) at the Fermi level.
SYK model

To obtain a non-Fermi liquid, we set $t_{ij} = 0$:

$$H_{SYK} = \frac{1}{(2N)^{3/2}} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell=1}^{N} J_{ij;k\ell} c_i^\dagger c_j^\dagger c_k c_\ell - \mu \sum_i c_i^\dagger c_i$$

$$Q = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i c_i^\dagger c_i$$

$H_{SYK}$ is similar, and has identical properties, to the SY model.

A fermion can move only by entangling with another fermion: the Hamiltonian has “nothing but entanglement”.

Feynman graph expansion in $J_{ij}$, and graph-by-graph average, yields exact equations in the large $N$ limit:

$$G(i\omega) = \frac{1}{i\omega + \mu - \Sigma(i\omega)}, \quad \Sigma(\tau) = -J^2G^2(\tau)G(-\tau)$$

$$G(\tau = 0^-) = Q.$$
**SYK model**

Feynman graph expansion in $J_{ij...}$, and graph-by-graph average, yields exact equations in the large $N$ limit:

$$G(i\omega) = \frac{1}{i\omega + \mu - \Sigma(i\omega)}, \quad \Sigma(\tau) = -J^2G^2(\tau)G(-\tau)$$

$$G(\tau = 0^-) = Q.$$ 

Low frequency analysis shows that the solutions must be gapless and obey

$$\Sigma(z) = \mu - \frac{1}{A}\sqrt{z} + \ldots, \quad G(z) = \frac{A}{\sqrt{z}}$$

for some complex $A$. The ground state is a non-Fermi liquid, with a continuously variable density $Q$. 

SYK model

- $T = 0$ Green’s function $G \sim 1/\sqrt{T}$
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SYK model

- \( T = 0 \) Green’s function \( G \sim 1/\sqrt{\tau} \)

- \( T > 0 \) Green’s function implies conformal invariance
  \( G \sim 1/\sin(\pi T \tau) \)^{1/2}

- Non-zero entropy as \( T \to 0 \), \( S(T \to 0) = N S_0 + \ldots \)

- These features indicate that the SYK model is dual to
  the low energy limit of a quantum gravity theory of black
  holes with \( \text{AdS}_2 \) near-horizon geometry. The Bekenstein-
  Hawking entropy is \( N S_0 \).

- The dependence of \( S_0 \) on the density \( Q \) matches the be-
  havior of the Wald-Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of \( \text{AdS}_2 \)
  horizons in a large class of gravity theories.
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We show that there is a close correspondence between the physical properties of holographic metals near charged black holes in anti–de Sitter (AdS) space, and the fractionalized Fermi liquid phase of the lattice Anderson model. The latter phase has a “small” Fermi surface of conduction electrons, along with a spin liquid of local moments. This correspondence implies that certain mean-field gapless spin liquids are states of matter at nonzero density realizing the near-horizon, \(\text{AdS}_2 \times \mathbb{R}^2\) physics of Reissner-Nordström black holes.
SYK model

After integrating the fermions, the partition function can be written as a path integral with an action $S$ analogous to a Luttinger-Ward functional

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}G(\tau_1, \tau_2) \mathcal{D}\Sigma(\tau_1, \tau_2) \exp(-NS)$$

$$S = \ln \det [\delta(\tau_1 - \tau_2)(\partial_{\tau_1} + \mu) - \Sigma(\tau_1, \tau_2)]$$

$$+ \int d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \Sigma(\tau_1, \tau_2) [G(\tau_2, \tau_1) + (J^2/2)G^2(\tau_2, \tau_1)G^2(\tau_1, \tau_2)]$$


**SYK model**

After integrating the fermions, the partition function can be written as a path integral with an action $S$ analogous to a Luttinger-Ward functional

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}G(\tau_1, \tau_2) \mathcal{D}\Sigma(\tau_1, \tau_2) \exp(-NS)$$

$$S = \ln \det [\delta(\tau_1 - \tau_2)(\partial_\tau_1 + \partial_\tau_2) - \Sigma(\tau_1, \tau_2)]$$

$$+ \int d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \Sigma(\tau_1, \tau_2) [G(\tau_2, \tau_1) + (J^2/2)G^2(\tau_2, \tau_1)G^2(\tau_1, \tau_2)]$$

At frequencies $\ll J$, the time derivative in the determinant is less important, and without it the path integral is invariant under the reparametrization and gauge transformations

$$\tau = f(\sigma)$$

$$G(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \left[f'(\sigma_1)f'(\sigma_2)\right]^{-1/4} \frac{g(\sigma_1)}{g(\sigma_2)} G(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$$

$$\Sigma(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \left[f'(\sigma_1)f'(\sigma_2)\right]^{-3/4} \frac{g(\sigma_1)}{g(\sigma_2)} \Sigma(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$$

where $f(\sigma)$ and $g(\sigma)$ are arbitrary functions.
Let us write the large $N$ saddle point solutions of $S$ as

$$ G_s(\tau_1 - \tau_2) \sim (\tau_1 - \tau_2)^{-1/2}, \quad \Sigma_s(\tau_1 - \tau_2) \sim (\tau_1 - \tau_2)^{-3/2}. $$

These are not invariant under the reparametrization symmetry but are invariant only under a SL(2,R) subgroup under which

$$ f(\tau) = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \quad ad - bc = 1. $$

So the (approximate) reparametrization symmetry is spontaneously broken.

See also A. Kitaev, unpublished, and J. Polchinski and V. Rosenhaus, arXiv:1601.06768
Let us write the large $N$ saddle point solutions of $S$ as

$$G_s(\tau_1 - \tau_2) \sim (\tau_1 - \tau_2)^{-1/2}, \quad \Sigma_s(\tau_1 - \tau_2) \sim (\tau_1 - \tau_2)^{-3/2}.$$ 

These are not invariant under the reparametrization symmetry but are invariant only under a $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ subgroup under which $f(\tau) = a\tau + b$ with $ad-bc=1$.

So the (approximate) reparametrization symmetry is spontaneously broken.

Reparametrization zero mode

Expand about the saddle point by writing $G(\tau_1, \tau_2) = [f_0(\tau_1) f_0(\tau_2)]^{1/4} G_s(f(\tau_1) f(\tau_2))$ (and similarly for $\Sigma$) and obtain an effective action for $f(\tau)$. This action does not vanish because of the time derivative in the determinant which is not reparameterization invariant.

### SYK model

Connections of SYK to gravity and AdS$_2$ horizons

- Reparameterization and gauge invariance are the ‘symmetries’ of the Einstein-Maxwell theory of gravity and electromagnetism
- $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ is the isometry group of AdS$_2$.

J. Maldacena and D. Stanford, arXiv:1604.07818
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**SYK model**

Let us write the large $N$ saddle point solutions of $S$ as

$$ G_s(\tau_1 - \tau_2) \sim (\tau_1 - \tau_2)^{-1/2}, \quad \Sigma_s(\tau_1 - \tau_2) \sim (\tau_1 - \tau_2)^{-3/2}. $$

These are not invariant under the reparametrization symmetry but are invariant only under a SL(2,R) subgroup under which

$$ f(\tau) = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \quad ad - bc = 1. $$

So the (approximate) reparametrization symmetry is spontaneously broken.

**Reparametrization zero mode**

Expand about the saddle point by writing

$$ G(\tau_1, \tau_2) = [f'(\tau_1)f'(\tau_2)]^{1/4} G_s(f(\tau_1) - f(\tau_2)) $$

(and similarly for $\Sigma$) and obtain an effective action for $f(\tau)$. This action does not vanish because of the time derivative in the determinant which is not reparameterization invariant.
**SYK model**

With \( g(\tau) = e^{-i\phi(\tau)} \), the action for \( \phi(\tau) \) and \( f(\tau) = \frac{1}{\pi T} \tan(\pi T(\tau + \epsilon(\tau)) \) fluctuations is

\[
S_{\phi,f} = \frac{K}{2} \int_0^{1/T} d\tau (\partial_\tau \phi + i(2\pi \mathcal{E} T) \partial_\tau \epsilon)^2 - \frac{\gamma}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{1/T} d\tau \{ f, \tau \},
\]

where \( \{ f, \tau \} \) is the Schwarzian:

\[
\{ f, \tau \} \equiv \frac{f'''(\tau)}{f'(\tau)} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{f''(\tau)}{f'(\tau)} \right)^2.
\]

The couplings are given by thermodynamics (\( \Omega \) is the grand potential)

\[
K = - \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial \mu^2} \right)_T, \quad \gamma + 4\pi^2 \mathcal{E}^2 K = - \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial T^2} \right)_\mu
\]

\[
2\pi \mathcal{E} = \frac{\partial S_0}{\partial Q}
\]
SYK and AdS$_2$

With $g(\tau) = e^{-i\phi(\tau)}$, the action for $\phi(\tau)$ and $f(\tau) = \frac{1}{\pi T} \tan(\pi T (\tau + \epsilon(\tau)))$ fluctuations is

$$S_{\phi,f} = \frac{K}{2} \int_0^{1/T} d\tau (\partial_\tau \phi + i(2\pi \mathcal{E} T) \partial_\tau \epsilon)^2 - \frac{\gamma}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{1/T} d\tau \{f, \tau\},$$

where $\{f, \tau\}$ is the Schwarzian:

$$\{f, \tau\} \equiv \frac{f'''}{f'} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{f''}{f'} \right)^2.$$

- The same effective action is obtained from the Reissner-Nördstrom-AdS black hole of Einstein-Maxwell theory in 4 dimensions, after a dimensional direction to AdS$_2 \times T^2$, valid when the temperature is smaller than a scale set by the size of $T^2$.

- The Lyapunov time to quantum chaos saturates the lower bound both in the SYK model and in the gravity theory.

$$\tau_L = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\hbar}{k_B T}$$
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Entangled quantum matter without quasiparticles

- Is there a connection between strange metals and black holes? Yes, \textit{e.g.} the SYK model.

- Why do they have the same equilibration time \( \sim \hbar/(k_B T) \)? Strange metals don’t have quasiparticles and thermalize rapidly; Black holes are “fast scramblers”.

- Theoretical predictions for strange metal transport in graphene agree well with experiments